



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/846,781 05/02/2001		5/02/2001	Steven J. Hulai	92509-3 4596	
22463	7590	10/18/2005		EXAMINER	
SMART A			LE, DEBBIE M		
438 UNIVE		ENUE .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TORONTO,	ON M50	G2K8	2168		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · ·		
		09/846,78	31	HULAI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	,	Art Unit			
		DEBBIE N	1. LE	2167			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Issions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we eply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF TH f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nication. utory period will apply and w ill, by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 21 Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	o)⊠ This action is r or allowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from co					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) ion to the drawing(s) the correction is require	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	` ,		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	t(s)			•			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P · No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/18/05</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite	D-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 2/18/05 has been considered by the examiner. See attached PTO-1449.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract is objected because it contains legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided (see Applicants's abstract, for example, line 10, respectively).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 6, 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang (US Patent Application No. 2002/0160745 A1).

Application/Control Number: 09/846,781

Art Unit: 2168

As per claim 1, Wang discloses a method of presenting data from an application executing at a computing device (Fig. 1, # 26) at a remote wireless device (Fig. 1, # 32, 34, 36, and 38), comprising:

receiving at said wireless device, a representation of a text file defining:

(as server 26 to serve electronic content to wireless devices 32, 34, 36, and 38 including HTML, XML WML) (see Fig. 11, par. 40);

a format of a user interface for the application at said wireless device (as wireless mobile device interface component is used to generating device specific information on the location-aware wireless such as text, audio, video, graphic or other information) (see par. 102-103);

a format of network messages for exchange of data generated by said application (as alert information is formatted into a network-independent location-aware protocol message or network message interface used to communicate with plural different types of location-aware wireless mobile device in a plural different locations in a specific geographic area, or plural servers also exchange other types of information) (see par. 92, par. 100, lines 5-8, par. 109, last two lines);

a format for storing data related to said application at said wireless device (see par. 97, par. 128);

receiving data from said application in accordance with said format of network transactions (see par. 0100, par. 128, lines 1-5, par. 0101), and

presenting said data at said wireless device using said user interface (see par. 0098, par. 0102).

Application/Control Number: 09/846,781

Art Unit: 2168

As per claim 2, Wang teaches wherein said text file is received at said device and wherein said text file is an XML file (see par. 0040).

As per claim 3, Wang teaches wherein said text file is parsed, and a representation of said text file is stored at said device (see par. 0100, 0103).

As per claim 4, Wang teaches storing data generated by said application at said wireless device using said format for storing data (see par. 01020068-0069).

As per claim 6, Wang teaches wherein said format of network messages comprises XML definitions for said network messages, and wherein data for said application are dispatched from said mobile device using said XML definitions (see par. 0068, 0093-0094).

Claim 13 is rejected by the same rationale as state in independent claim 1 arguments.

Claims 14-15 have similar limitations as claims 2-3; therefore, they are rejected under the same subject matter.

Claims 7-9, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aarnio et al (US Patent Application No. 2005/0059426 A1).

As per claim 7, Aarnio discloses a wireless mobile device (see par. 0018) comprising

a processor (see Fig. 1, #40, CPU);

Application/Control Number: 09/846,781

Art Unit: 2168

computer readable memory in communication with said processor (as a memory associated with the CPU 40, par. 0025), storing virtual machine software controlling operation of said device (as software module, par. 0025), said virtual machine software comprising:

a parser for receiving a text file (see par. 0020);

a screen generation engine, for presenting at least one screen at said device in accordance with said text file (see par. 0021);

an event handler for processing events arising in response to interaction with said at least one screen in accordance with said text file (see par. 0024);

object classes corresponding to actions to be taken by said in response to interaction with said at least one screen (see par. 0023).

As per claim 8, Aarnio teaches wherein said memory further stores a representation of said text file (see par. 0020).

As per claim 9, Aarnio teaches wherein said representation of said text file is created by said parser (see par. 0020).

As per claim 11, Aarnio teaches wherein said object classes corresponding to action to be taken comprise object classes that present screen elements at said device (see par. 0023).

As per claim 12, Aarnio teaches object classes enabling exchange of data between said wireless device and a computing device over a network, wherein said data is formatted in accordance with definitions within said text file (see par. 0023).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang (US Patent Application No. 2002/0160745 A1) as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Aarnio et al (US Patent Application No. 2005/0059426 A1).

As per claim 5, Wang teaches message data include text, graphical or other type of message information, other sizes and fields can be used for the location-aware message format layout (see par. 0071). Wang does not explicitly teach said text defines screens; events arising in response to interaction with said screens, and actions for processing said events. However, Aarnio teaches said text defines screens; events

arising in response to interaction with said screens, and actions for processing said events (0009, 0011, 0021). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references to implements the above features in order to resolve the problems of lack of display space when displaying sizable content on wireless devices since when the content become larger than the small screen can display so that the entire content be seen at one time one the display as suggested by Aarnio (par. 0006, 0007).

Claim 16 has similar limitations as claim 5; therefore, it is rejected under the same subject matter.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aarnio et al (US Patent Application No. 2005/0059426 A1) as applied to claim 7 above, in view of Wang (US Patent Application No. 2002/0160745 A1).

As per claim 10, Aarnio teaches WML, HTML (see par. 0006). Aarnio does not explicitly teach wherein said parser comprises an XML parser. However, Wang teaches said parser comprises an XML parser (see par. 0040). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references to implement an XML parser as disclosed by Wang because it would enable Aarnio's system to exchange different types of information using plural transport networks via different types of wireless devices in order to accepted information in the format a user's wireless device desired.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 6, last paragraph, filed on 1/19/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 3-5, 8-9, 17 and 13 under 35 USC 102 and 2, 6 and 10-12 under 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Wang (US Patent Application No. 2002/0160745 A1) and Aarnio et al (US Patent Application No. 2005/0059426 A1).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record, listed on form PTO-892, and not relied upon, if any, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEBBIE M. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-4111. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JEFFREY GAFFIN can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DEBBIE M LE

Examiner

Art Unit 2168

Debbie Le

Oct. 12, 2005.